

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/808,500 | MATTSON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Fred Prince | 1724 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Fred Prince.

(3) _____.

 (2) Roy Mattson, Jr.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 February 2006
Time: 12:30
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 N/A

Claims discussed:
 15, 20, 23, and 26-28

Prior art documents discussed:
 N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant and the examiner discussed amending the claims to correct typographical and form errors in order to place the instant application in condition for allowance. A summary of the agreed upon amendments is provided on the attached examiner's amendment..